Annex 2c: Pupil premium strategy statement (primary)

1. Summary information							
School	Sutton in Craven CP						
Academic Year	2016-17	Total PP budget 15-16	£35,920	Date of most recent PP Review	9/15		
Total number of pupils	207	Number of pupils eligible for PP	22	Date for next internal review of this strategy	9/17		

2. Y	6 Test performance				
	5 pupil premium children, 4 SEN (3 boys/1 girl) 2 joined school in Sept 15 1 girl / 4 boys	Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	National average		
% ach	ieving expected standard at the end of KS2 in all core subjects	1/5 =20%	53%		
% making expected progress in reading (or equivalent) 0%					
% making expected progress in writing (or equivalent) 2/5 =40%					
% mak	king expected progress in maths (or equivalent)	hs (or equivalent) 0%			
3. Ba	arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)	1			
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills	3)			
Α.	We have a group of PP children with emotional needs which affect concentrati	on and progress. 14/22 on SEN	D register		
В.	Weak writing skills, especially spelling, hinders the progress of some PP childr	en. Currently 31% at or above e	xpected		
C.	Gaps in mathematical skills and understanding, especially mental recall				
Ex	ternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low att	endance rates)			
D.					
4. C	Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)		Success criteria		
Α.	All pupils able to concentrate and take pride in their work		Work is of a high standard and children talk about it with pride		
В.	Good levels of achievement in Literacy		60 % achieving age related expectation in writing		

C.	% achieving age related expectation in maths is in line with rest of cohort
D.	

acadomic voar	2016-17				
Academic year	2010-17				
The three headings and support whole s i. Quality of teac	chool strategies	o demonstrate how they are using the	e Pupil Premium to improve classr	oom pedagog <u>y</u>	y, provide targeted suppo
Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	· · · · ·
	approach	rationale for this choice?	implemented well?	Stall leau	When will you review implementation?
B Good levels of achievement in Literacy			-	JF	-

Reviewed May 17	 New spelling scheme introduced across school; Monitoring of planning shows it is being used consistently. Monitoring of books shows an increasing use of correct spelling and teachers have higher expectations in non c subjects than previously. Spelling is a barrier to attaining expected in writing for 2 of our PP children in Y6 and th have been receiving targeted intervention all year. They are now nearly at expected. 						
C Good levels of achievement in Maths	Use of Singapore Maths Development of reasoning skills	CVA approach shown to increase understanding	Observation and maths book scrutiny	JF	30 Nov 16 5 th Apr 17 1 st May		
works partic	ularly well with the S the class has been s	ch has led to an increase in both p END PP children. split each morning with another tea	-				
			Total	budgeted cost	£2000		
ii. Targeted suppo	ort						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?		

All pupils able to	Mentoring	Structures conversations are	Overseen by DHT. reporting to	Selection of	23 Nov 16
concentrate and	approach.	shown to increase engagement.	DHT part of the process.	TAs and JF	15 Feb 17
take pride in their	Use of epace	Having a trusted adult in school	Regular reviews scheduled.		21 June 17
work.	testing to	who regularly shows interest in			
	determine barriers	their work will help increase pride	Pastoral interventions		
	to learning.	and address issues early	overseen by IM and 360		
	Pastoral	Targeted intervention then	baselining and review		
	interventions	possible	undertaken.		
		High level of PP children with			
		social and emotional needs.			

Reviewed May 17

- Epace testing identified specific learning barriers in Y5 and Y6 PP children that were then addressed through intervention. e.g. a small group of children struggled with auditory memory and the intervention was tailored to address this. Every teacher has identified key barriers to learning and are adapting their teaching approach to help pupils overcome them and also design interventions that can remove the barrier where possible.
- Pupil premium mentoring has been regularly monitored by our pupil premium champion. Children have, on the whole, found it useful to have an adult to work with on a one to one basis. Where it has been most successful both the mentor and pupil have agreed targets and regular meetings have supported the success in meeting targets. Going forward we are exploring changing the mentoring approach to include more parental involvement as structured learning conversations with parents has been very successful in the past. Changes in TAs has meant that the mentoring has not had the consistency it needs to be fully effective.

B Good levels of	Literacy	SMART target to address specific	Time limited with review by	KA and JF	24 Nov 16
achievement in	intervention for Y6	weaknesses. Analysis of pupils	DHT		16 Feb 17
Literacy	children focusing	work led to identification of targets			22 June 17
	on identified	and implementation will address			
	weaknesses	these.			

Reviewed May 17.

Literacy interventions in Y6 for pp children have focused on spelling, handwriting and sentence construction. Children hare using the HFW spelt correctly, with more frequency and progress is increasing. There are, however, still some pp children in Y6 who will not attain expected in writing. Currently 3/6 are working below ARE but 5/6 have made better than expected **progress** since the start of the year.

C Good levels of achievement in Maths	Success@arithmeti c for Y6 children with calculation difficulties	Proven intervention for children with weaknesses. Particularly suitable for PP child in Y6.	Pre and post assessment. Overseen by DHT. Training for staff secure.	DA	23 Nov 16 15 Feb 17 21 June 17
expected or better p	rogress since the start of	a group of children including one PP of the year. The weaknesses of 2 PF ths meant that they had more individe	children were not acute enough o	or them to need	d S@A intervention but the
				• • • •	004 500
···· Other energy of			l otal b	udgeted cost	£24,500
iii. Other approach				-	
iii. Other approach	nes Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	£24,500 When will you review implementation?

This has not yet been embedded. Going forward we have added more structure to this and will be rolling it out over the summer term.

All pupils able to concentrate and take pride in their work	Continue whole school focus on growth mindset Explore ways to increase boys	Evidence based research	Monitorin	g by middle leaders	СВ	6 March 17	
May review Work across school h progress	as continued The F	24C work that we are embarking upon	will also lea	ad to more confident th	ninking skills an	d should impact on	1
	als on some emotion	al and behaviour aspects has been on ns with targeted differentiation for PP c	0 0	0	chool.		
				Total b	udgeted cost	£7100	
				Tota	al for the year	£33,600	
B. Review of exper	nditure					1	
Previous Academic	Year 2015-16						
i. Quality of teach	ing for all						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the criteria? Include impact on pupils not for PP, if appropriate.		Lessons learned (and whether you will	continue with t	nis approach)	Cost
Children developing emotional maturity and resilience	PAC UK training for all staff Growth mind set project	Good improvements in behaviours and a concentrate seen in post adoptive childre Improved attitude across school, most no lower attainers self esteem	en	Lego therapy effective mind set has most imp	•	•	£200 £1800 £150

To increase skills of children with communication difficulties on entry to school	ELKLAN training	ELKLAN techniques still being embedded. Some work with identified children.80% of YR children at ARE or above at the end of the year in Speaking.	Continue to roll out across school.	£1150
Improved achievement in maths	Mastery maths network attendance Maths consultant input	56% of PP children at or above in Maths. 71% of children at KS1 achieved expected or above.	Still issues at KS2 Singapore maths taking longer to show impact at KS2. Problem solving a focus this year.	£320 £1050 £250
ii. Targeted suppo	rt	·		
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Increased attainment in basic skills for Y5 and 6	HLTA and GTA interventions	Some improvement in abilities of intervention children, measured by pre and post assessment. Reading improvements were especially good with most children increasing	Redeployment of HLTA after a time limited the success. Dedicated TA time to last all year. Short time limited targets essential.	£1750 £3500
Increasing attainment in maths	Success@arithe mtic HLTA interventions	The small targeted intervention of S@A led to improvements for the children involved but not sufficient to reach expected at KS2.	S@A works well but focusses solely on calculation. Continue but explore other resources too.	£3500
Developed social skills	Pastoral intervention led by SENCo Lunchtime nuture	These were very successful enabling more PP children to be successful in their behaviour management and learning.	Continue and supplement with mentoring programme for all	£800 £3500 £720

Greater speaking and listening skills in younger children	Ginger Bear Lego therapy	Good progress shown	Identify quickly if needed this year. Look for ways to support children who still need it – explore Talk for Writing and talking partners.	£432 £640
iii. Other approache	es			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Increase in emotional well being	Pastoral support team	Very effective	360 analysis of need and outcomes needed	£6850
Increase in achievement	Academic support team	Very effective	Use tighter targets	£6850
			Total	£33,46 2

C. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to support the sections above.

Non class based DHT and SENCO/inclusion leader

Examples of the type of support provided:

- Planning and supporting the delivery of quality interventions
- One to one teaching
- Literacy and Numeracy interventions
- Phonics
- Screening for specific difficulties
- Evaluating effectiveness of intervention programmes
- Pastoral and SEAL
- Playground support
- Home school visits
- Parental support
- Y1/Y2 & Y6 Booster Groups